

<b>Family Name</b>	Waterfield
<b>Given Name</b>	Jessica
<b>Person ID</b>	1287135
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Waterfield
<b>Given Name</b>	Jessica
<b>Person ID</b>	1287135
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>I'm all for building new house for people to live in, but I genuinely don't think this is the right location. I cannot understand why important green belt land is being built on when there is alternative land that could be used instead. The green space is why a lot of people moved here in the first place - it is essential to our mental and physical wellbeing.</p> <p>In addition, the traffic in this area is very congested at times and without drastic improvements to the road system (not laid out in this proposal) then the roads just won't cope with so many extra cars. Public transport (eg the Vantage buses) are already over-full on "rush hour" buses. Other services such as schools are also already oversubscribed, and this proposal does not adequately address this.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Build on the alternative available land that is NOT green belt, before destroying this important green space. Also plan to build or drastically improve the surrounding road infrastructure to cope with the extra vehicles.